

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,323	08/22/2003	Mark Smolenski	00601-0044US	9692
WOOD PHII	7590 04/28/201 LIPS, KATZ, CLARK	EXAM	EXAMINER	
500 W. MADISON STREET SUITE 3800 CHICAGO, IL 60661			BATSON, VICTOR D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/646.323 SMOLENSKI ET AL. Notice of Abandonment Examiner Art Unit VICTOR BATSON 3677 The MAILING DATE of this communication appear

The mailing Date of this communication appears on the co	ver sneet with the correspondence address
This application is abandoned in view of:	
	nission dated), which is after the expiration of the ) which expired on
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only c application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).	
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper replinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in but	
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.	
2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).	•
<ul> <li>(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on</li></ul>	nt of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is do	ue.
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication is	ee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received	l.
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and wit Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>	hin the three-month period set in, the Notice of
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificant after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.	
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or age the applicants.	ent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or age 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	ent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.	on and because the period for seeking court review
7. X The reason(s) below:	
See Continuation Sheet	
\( \text{\text{Victor Batson/}} \) \( \text{Victor I} \) Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3677 \) \( \text{SPE} \) \( \text{Art Unit} \)	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of a	bandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Application No. 10/646,323

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: This notice is also in response to the Request for status of Application (Status Inquiry) field 17:6/2009, 11/25/2008, 6.00/60/2008. In the status inquiries, applicant states that he was advised over the telephone by examiner Rodriguez that the application was allowed, however examiner Rodriguez no longer works at the Office. A review of the record does not indicate that an interview took place, that an agreement was reached or that an allowance was indicated. A search & inquiry was made determine if examiner Rodriguez left any working folders, but none were found. The primary examiner working with examiner Rodriguez was consulted, however he was not aware of an allowance agreement. The final correspondence from examiner Rodriguez was and Advisory Action mailed 12/10/2007 indicating that the proposed amendment filed 11/13/2007 would not be enter because it raised new issues. Therefore, in view of the last correspondence from the Office (Advisory Action filed 12/10/2007), and the fact that applicant's period for reply has expired, the current status of the application is that the application is abandoned.